



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CR
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/007,524	11/08/2001	Robert J. Lawton	10001593-1	2569
7590	02/26/2004			EXAMINER
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administraion P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			CARTER, MONICA SMITH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3722	
			DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/007,524	LAWTON, ROBERT J.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Monica S. Carter	3722

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 November 2003.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-6,9-11,13,15-26 and 29 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 7,8,10,12,14,27 and 28 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 4-6, 9, 11, 13 and 15-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Holmberg (4,525,116).

Holmberg discloses a method comprising aligning individual sheets (10), the individual sheets having a pre-applied adhesive (10a – glue ribbon); and pressing the sheets together to activate the adhesive on the sheets (see col. 2, lines 39-61 and col. 3, lines 10-12), wherein a cohesive bond is formed between the adhesive on the sheets producing the bound booklet (see col. 1, lines 27-36).

Regarding claim 4, Holmberg discloses the sheets being secured prior to be pressed (see col. 2, lines 34-38).

Regarding claim 5, Holmberg discloses applying the adhesive on a binding edge of the sheets before aligning (see col. 2, lines 19-28; the adhesive is pre-applied to the sheets).

Regarding claim 6, Holmberg discloses applying the adhesive to both sides of the sheet (as seen in col. 3, lines 36-41 and figure 8).

Regarding claim 9, Holmberg inherently discloses aligning a cover with the sheets, since the intent of the invention is to provide a bound booklet. Booklets

conventionally include a cover bound to the individual sheets retained therein. The sheets are provided with pre-applied adhesive and, therefore, the cover would also be provided with pre-applied adhesive for binding the cover to the sheets.

Regarding claims 11 and 13, see the above rejections to claims 1 and 9.

Regarding claim 15, Holmberg discloses a method comprising applying an adhesive to a publication sheet (sheets 10 for providing a bound booklet) before the sheet is printed (see col. 2, lines 28-38); aligning the sheets with a publication (booklet) after the page is printed (see col. 2, lines 28-38); and applying a pressure to the sheet for a period of time that will adhere the adhesive (10a – glue ribbon) on the sheet with an adhesive on the publication (booklet) (see col. 2, lines 39-61).

Regarding claim 16, Holmberg inherently discloses aligning a cover with the sheets after the cover is printed, since the intent of Holmberg's invention is to provide a bound, printed booklet. Booklets conventionally include a printed cover bound to the individual sheets retained therein. The sheets are provided with pre-applied adhesive and, therefore, the cover would also be provided with pre-applied adhesive for binding the cover to the sheets before the cover is printed.

Regarding claim 17, Holmberg discloses a publication page (10- sheet) comprising a pressure set adhesive (10a- glue ribbon) applied to the sheet before the sheet is printed and before the sheet is aligned to be bound with a publication (booklet) (see col. 2, lines 19-38).

Regarding claim 18, Holmberg discloses the pressure set adhesive being applied on a binding edge of the sheet (as seen in figures 3-9).

Regarding claims 19 and 20, Holmberg disclose the claimed invention except for pressure set adhesive being applied on both sides of one end of the sheet (as seen in col. 3, lines 36-41 and figure 8).

Regarding claims 21-23, Holmberg inherently discloses a publication cover comprising a pressure set adhesive (10a- glue ribbon) applied to the cover before the cover is printed and before the cover is aligned to be bound with a publication (booklet), since the intent of Holmberg's invention is to provide a bound, printed booklet. Booklets conventionally include a printed cover bound to the individual sheets retained therein. The sheets are provided with pre-applied adhesive and, therefore, the cover would also be provided with pre-applied adhesive on the inside of the cover for binding the cover to the various sheets before the cover is printed.

Regarding claims 24 and 25, Holmberg discloses a publication binder (20) comprising an alignment system (upstanding paper abutment portion 22b of heater bar 22) to align sheets of a publication, the sheets having pre-applied adhesive (10a – glue ribbon) and a pressure system configured to apply a pressure to the sheets for a period of time to adhere the sheets together (as seen in col. 2, lines 34-61).

Regarding claim 26, Holmberg disclose the alignment system including a clamp (25) configured to secure the sheets in place (as seen in col. 2, lines 52-57).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2, 3 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holmberg.

Holmberg discloses the claimed invention except for explicitly disclosing a trimmer for trimming the sheets before aligning the sheets together. Holmberg discloses the sheets being prefabricated (i.e., manufactured in advance). The trimming operation is a conventional finishing process performed on individual sheets of paper. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Holmberg's invention to include trimming the edges of the sheet to enable proper alignment of the sheets in the bound publication.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 7, 8, 10, 12, 14, 27 and 28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Holmberg ('011) discloses a solvent activated bindable sheet.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica S. Carter whose telephone number is (703) 305-0305. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (7:00 AM - 4:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea L. Wellington can be reached on (703) 308-2159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

February 18, 2004

Monica S. Carter
MONICA S. CARTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER